

THEISTIC EVOLUTION AS A RELIGION

Phil Smith

MA – Applied Linguistics, Biblical Archeology; PhD in Biblical Archeology; Doctor of Religious Education

Theistic Evolution as a Religion

This summary will deal with various assumptions of science in relation to evolution and distinguish between the theory of evolution and the Biblical doctrine of creation. One such article that I will quote from is, 'Did God Use Evolution?' It was written by Werner Gitt who was a German Engineer at the German Federal Institute of Physics and Technology. It's also a comprehensive look into evolution as being totally opposite to God's thinking. In addition, this will show how evolution has in fact affected Christian and theological thinking in churches and Christians colleges. Discussion will include how Creation research deduces physical models based on fundamental Biblical statements and reveal the anti-biblical nature of the evolutionary viewpoint.

The following points will be covered: Principles of Science, Anthropological Considerations, Astronomical Observations, Biological Observations, Information Science Observations, Progressive Evolution or Complete Creation and Consequences of Theistic Evolution. Also attached in the Appendix are notes from Grant R. Jeffrey and his book, 'Creation: Remarkable Evidence of God's Design'. This adds additional information to the above.

I believe that Bible teaches a six day 24 hour creation and that dinosaurs were on earth the same time as humans. They died out due to the Noahic flood that happened about six or seven thousand years ago. I believe in a young earth, somewhere around ten thousand years old. Some think that there is evidence to say that the earth is about six thousand years old. This measure is taken from the genealogies stated in the Bible. But these genealogies, even though valuable, don't seem to be given in the way that we would understand them. I think there is enough evidence just in studying the earth alone in terms of geology, astronomy, palaeontology, anthropology, biology and other sciences to prove this. The problem of course is the point of view that people take. If a person has a certain evolutionary point of view or certain assumptions, no matter what evidence is presented, they will not believe it. So let's look at some of the assumptions:

1. The Principles of Science and Creation verses Evolution: Principles of science theory requires that every theory must have basic assumptions. These assumptions are only arbitrary beliefs which compares to a jury having come to a decision through a process of deductions. These beliefs must be free from inherent contractions. Theories are only valid until it can be replaced by a new and better theory or must allow experimentation in order to negate it. If the theory proves false from experimentation then it should be discarded. Any

science can be divided up into exact sciences or structural sciences and structural science such as mathematics and informatics contains theorems which can not be proved. And these theories can only continue if it is repeatable, otherwise it has to be rejected as being false. With evolution there are a lot of assumptions such as it being taken for granted as being true. It is only a theory. Another assumed point is that it holds true not only for life but for the entire universe. People also assume that all living organisms are based only on matter and materialistic principles with no creator or designer responsible or involved and that matter and energy in the universe is constant. However, there is no scientific explanation for the origin of matter and energy by evolutionists. All of these points are assumptions which are in many cases unprovable. In fact some of them create tremendous problems if thought through to the end. The main driving forces for evolution are mutation, selection, isolation and mixing. What this sums up to be is chance and necessity which takes in long periods of time, ecological changes and death. However there is not a single case, either experimental or observational of the origin of a new kind of organism and thus these remain simply an assumption. For the evolutionist, time is everything; in that, it will eventually happen regardless. Their theme sentence is 'the present is the key to the past.' But this is all verbal rubbish. Sir Arthur Keith summaries the above: Evolution is unproven and unprovable. It is unscientific to accept it but the only other choice is Biblical Creation but non-Christian evolutionary scientists will die, steal, cheat and murder to say that evolution is correct.

Looking at creation, the following points are taken for granted: Biblical revelations are the key to understanding the world we live in. Even though the Bible is the source of our information, our present experiences don't allow us to evaluate something that has been created. In other words, 'which came first, the chicken or the egg?' Another assumption is that creation is a universal principle. Creationists believe that the Creator is the God of the Bible. He created all matter; everything was formed at Gods command. The present creation functions according to natural laws that were established at creation and the physical processes of living matter are subject to these laws, but it must be understood that God's creative activities are not subject to these laws. His creation was a single event where the present natural laws came into existence. Also, the origin of energy cannot be explained in terms of known physical laws. In addition, the acts of God in establishing the Bible are outside our field of understanding. We can only understand it as God's Word revealed it to us. The creation of the world came about through the Power, Word, Wisdom, Will and Character of God, out of nothing. All aspects of creation clearly point to a Designer and Creator: God. There is a definite beginning point of time where time and matter came into existence and

these two points will have a definite end. Death is the result of sin and all life forms were affected by sin. The present geological structure of the earths crust was caused by the Noahic flood. Even though matter and energy are necessary fundamental qualities of all life, they do not distinguish living systems from non living systems. The main characteristic for life is the information that's required for all life processes and the ability to procreate. The creation of living organisms is finished. There will never be any new organisms or animals. This was done in the first six days of creation. Any changes that have come about since then are only variations of previously created original kinds.

So, continues Werner Gitt, in considering Theistic Evolution; it is somewhat different from plain evolution, yet it's very different than the Biblical doctrine of creation. It states that God used evolution as a means of creating. It considers the Bible irrelevant in present-day science and all evolutionary pronouncements have priority over Biblical statements. According to Theistic Evolution assumptions, the Bible must be reinterpreted when and wherever it contradicts the present evolutionary worldview. The Bible is only regarded as the product of historical influences and the writers reflected their own circumstances and world view. The Bible thus contains no authoritative, binding truths, but must be freshly interpreted and corrected for every era and in every situation. Also, normally any reference to the Bible by Theistic Evolutionists is done in order to apply evolutionary meanings to it. Another assumption is that the existence of God is assumed. But in answer to these points, Christians should understand there are no scientific-philosophical objections today to use the Bible for explaining creation science. God's revelation and concepts far surpasses human reason. Evolutionary scientists can only present their models as hypotheses only which already stand on unstable ground. It's known that Biblical explanations have a wider range of use than scientific statements. Since science is secularized, the creationist model describing nature will be unacceptable for some. But according to 'Popper', any competing theory will be vindicated if it survives the most stringent tests and so far this is holding true for creationism.

2. Anthropological Considerations: This section looks at human traits in regards to evolution and creationism. From Darwin's viewpoint and evolution, the origin of man developed from lowly organised life forms. This idea goes all the way back to inorganic molecules or what's known as primeval soup. According to the Nobel prise winner Jacques Monod, our existence is a consequence of a game of chance. The universe was not pregnant with life nor the biosphere with man. From the creationist viewpoint, this is simply a science fiction story. And one of the most conflicting problems for the evolutionary scientist is the

lack of transitional evidence that is absolutely necessary to support such a theory. On the contrary, in considering the Biblical account, every event was stated beforehand such as, 'So God created man in his own image, in the image of God He created him.

Evolution considered language as evolving and even evolutionist admit that language is a phenomenon unique to man. The existence of today's languages shows the diversification from common roots as a point of origin they say. But the linguist, H. Gipper says that this can't be sustained. The essence of human speech is not communication as communication exists everywhere in the animal kingdom but here communication isn't speech in considering the animal kingdom. But for the human, it's knowledge medium. It lies in assigning specific meanings to articulated sounds. Beate Marquardt assumes that language was not necessary for survival but was a luxury. Being a non-material phenomenon, all evolutionary hypotheses for its origin fail. The Bibles says that God spoke to Adam, who understood what he was told. From this, we can confirm that God make man with the ability to talk and with language. Everybody there after spoke the same language until the Tower of Babel incident.

Looking at the sexes and marriage from an evolutionary viewpoint, the invention of sexual reproduction became a decisive reason for the development of the higher plants and animals to much more complex levels of organisation. Through fertilisation new combinations of genes arise with new variants and those that fit the environment best survive as put by evolutionists. However, after all the experimentation on breeding plants and animals, still, for example, a cow remains a cow! The creation account shows that God provided the capacity for reproduction. God made man and woman. They were different. And God brought Adam and Eve to be together as one. Eve was to be a helper to Adam and a companion. Marriage was devised by God as Jesus Himself confirmed in Matthew 19:4-6. Of course evolution says there were no matrimonial unions but group marriage existed which had no rules. From this, a progression from a matriarchy to a patriarchy is assumed by evolutionist. But marriage as shown was created from creation and the family is not a human convention. The family pattern has been developed by God as plainly shown in the New Testament. The ideas portrayed by society today are ideas that have come about through post Christian rejection of anything that is scripture.

For the evolutionist, there are four basic tenets in regards to death. Death is an essential prerequisite for evolution since without death, there could not have been evolution of life. It is an invention of evolution. But, Professor Widmar Tanner, a biologist see no reason why there should be death. An axiom of the doctrine of evolution: death is necessary for evolution but the question arises, why is there death? It should not have evolved! Death is

a biological point simply and leaves no room for life and death. The purpose of life is to contribute to the maturity and evolutionary development of the entire species. This point was directly adapted to communism. But in the end, science can not tell us anything about the origin of language. But God made man in His own image and originally man was suppose to physically live for ever on earth but this all changed when sin came into the world. Before sin, death was unknown throughout creation. Everything that God made was perfect! Even now, the Biblical definition of death is to be separated from. Sin brought on spiritual death or separation from God. As mentioned it also brought on physical death which leads to eternal death or eternal separation from God. The connection between God and humanity collapsed when sin entered the world. Eternal life or death is the final destination of our existence. We can have eternal life through faith in Jesus or eternal death through faith in ourselves. This is the link between redemption and death. Man and woman now must chose what they want, living a life for God or a life of sin that leads to eternal damnation in hell.

Evolutionists say that civilization went from polytheism to monotheism but creationist say that it was monotheism to polytheism. In studying the history of Middle Eastern ancient histories there is evidence that monotheism ruled at a former time. There are no historical grounds for transferring evolutionary concepts to the origin of religions. Biblical doctrines are reduced to the level of human endeavour. The evolutionists turn God into the image of man as he does not want to be God's image. We know that the wonders seen in creation lead to the conclusion that a Creator must have been at work. Our own conscience bears witness that we are guilty before God. Cain and Abel demonstrated the difference between mans religious way and God's way. God's way brings eternal salvation; the religions of the world obstruct the way to redemption. In the evolutionary system, the body/soul/spirit reality of man is the victim of the improper reductionism. They only differ in their degree of complexity. Marx and Engels said that the material world is the only reality. But matter is not a mental precept, only the brain is. Mental evolution is regarded as a third type, after chemical and organic evolution. But whenever mind and its origin is mentioned in evolutionary statements, these are never based on scientific results, but always on evolutionistic presuppositions. This shows again that evolution is not the result of scientific research. It is impossible to understand human nature apart from Biblical revelation. In regards to this, all philosophies including evolution are based on the assumption that man is inherently good, e.g. humanism and Marxism. Many statements confirm the unanimous opinion that man is aggressive and selfish. An irrefutable conclusion is that hate and aggression and the tendency to kill are inherent; murder, homicide, torture, and genocide

characterise the cultural history of man. In Genesis 8:21, it says that every inclination of his heart is evil from childhood. Psalm 14:3: They have all turned aside, they have together become corrupt; there is no one who does good, not even one. Man was not created evil, but only became evil after he had sinned. If man is sinful, then he requires salvation.

- 3. Astronomical Observations: In the origin of the universe, if the universe began, that means accepting it appeared suddenly out of nothing. According to the standard evolutionary cosmological model the universe originated in the so called big bang. It assumed a constant rate of expansion and its age come basically from guess work or arbitrary. Steven Weinberg says that this theory is pure speculation. The two scientific objections to the big bang model is that 98 per cent of the rotational energy of the solar system in found in the planets, although they only comprise 1 per cent of the total mass. This ratio excludes the possibility that the earth and the other planets could have been formed from the mass of the sun. Also the earth possesses a large number of astronomical and physical peculiarities which make life on earth possible. The Bible describes the universe in various terms. Genesis mentions the heavens and the earth and describes God as the Creator of a completed universe. Evolution sees no temporal end to the universe. Gravity is the driving force that keeps an eternally expanding universe and the open cosmos history has no end. But scientifically, we don't know whether we live in an open universe or a closed one. No scientifically based projections can be made in regards to it. The Bible doesn't show the world as being in a state of continual development but it is subject to decay. All the stars of the heavens will be dissolved and the sky rolled up like a scroll as it says in Isaiah 34:4. In Isaiah 51:6, the heavens will vanish like smoke, the earth will wear out like a garment. In 2 Peter 3:10, 13: the day of the Lord will come like a thief. The heavens will disappear with a roar; the elements will be destroyed by fire, and the earth and everything in it will be laid bare. What ever we consider or think are insignificant when one looks at the consequences of the big bang hypothesis. Present astronomical knowledge recognises no singular geometrical point in our universe in accordance with evolutionary ideas. Therefore, there is no geometrical centre and also no defined edge. No place in the universe has a special position. According to the Bible, the earth occupies the central position because of its God-given role, even though it may not be in the geometrical centre. God created the earth first thus His attention was focused on this planet. Earth and His people has always been His main focus.
- 4. Biological Observations: The evolutionary view is life originated within the primeval soup. After organisms developed, somewhere in time, this developed to higher life

forms. This presupposes a transition from life in the water to being able to live on land. Problems associated with this are body weight, breathing, disposal of body wastes, evaporation and dehydration and temperature fluctuations. The Biblical creation, aquatic animals were created on the fifth day, plants were created on the third day and multi-cellular organisms and God created man on land from the dust. Kinds for the biologists include those of a fertile community existing under natural conditions which possesses the same construction plan. Evolution considers all categories as related together but the essential quantity in all life forms is the information contained in the genes. The development of embryos is a process which is controlled and guided by information but this is not just a simple process. This includes a finely balanced genome that determines the orderly development of a living being. But the evolutionists assume that simple construction plans could produce more complex plans by means of mutations and selection. This is false according to information theory where no such event has ever been observed. In fact, the main result of heredity is to continue with same characteristics and remain constant. Still, the resulting of cross-breeding, the basic type remains. For the evolutionists, mankind is supposed to have descended directly from the animal kingdom by means of the same process involving the same evolutionary factors which caused animals to evolve. The so-called proofs for evolution based on homologies emphasise the idea of descent from common ancestors and considers humanity just another animal. But science acknowledges the gap between man and animal. The human brain alone and its explicit mental capabilities possess qualities that can't be compared to an animal. What about man ability of speech. He has the unique ability to pay attention to various matters at will. And only mankind is fully bipedal; our hands are not required for moving about. Plus, only mankind has the ability to express emotions. The Bible clearly separates man and animal. Mankind has one kind of flesh while animals have another and birds and fish have yet another. Man was created in the image of God and set apart from land animals. It was only man that received that breath of God and God used his hands to form man and then given a spirit. Man also has free will and has the ability to think creatively. Man was created to be near and close to God and can actually communicate with God.

5. Informational Science Observations: Information, here, is biological information. It is a fundamental principle of life or rather the transfer of information. This requires a way to carry it to storage and also a control of the processes. It also requires a code to represent ideas which is information. This information also has certain characteristics such as syntax,

semantics, and pragmatics. Transmitting information requires a sender and receiving information requires a receiver. One must also realize that information is not alive; it is not a probabilistic entity. Any type of mutation and selection cannot produce new information. The Biblical view of information looks at it from a syntactic viewpoint where code is based on mutual agreement. There is also a semantic aspect where language is a carrier of meaning. As the Bible says, 'therefore everyone who hears these words of mine and puts them into practice is like a wise man who built his house on the rock.' We've seen that evolutionists regard life as a material process: ability to procreate, mutate, change and evolve. This leads to a simple formula: Life = complex matter = a function of chemistry and physics. The information view of life says that matter energy are necessary aspects of living form but this doesn't distinguish between living and inanimate systems. But information is a basic characteristic of sentient beings and these form information processing systems. For example, the nervous system serves as the communication network for all relevant information for controlling the operation of all organic systems. From the informational view of life we can formulate another equation: Life = material part (physical and chemical aspects) + nonmaterial part (information having an intellectual source). This comprises a radical difference over and against the formula as presented by evolution. Interestingly at the moment of death the totality of DNA information is still present in all the cells. The soul of man is not an ordinary engine; it only reveals itself in the nature of man. It can't be tested physically or chemically. This part of the non-material part of man has been put there by the Creator. Thus a Biblical formula is stated in this way: Life = material part (structural appearance) + nonmaterial part = the structural, operational, and communication information encoded by God + non-material part 2 (soul and spirit).

6. Progressive Evolution or Complete Creation: According to evolution, the entire cosmos, our earth and all life forms resulted from an extremely slow process of development from the simple to the complex, from barely structured to higher forms of organisation, from inanimate to animate, and from low life forms to higher levels and this process is still going on. It says that cosmos went through this process beginning with a singularity of immense density and temperature and with the big bang. Man and animals are not seen as completed creatures. Humans are also continuing in this development also. But the Bible says that the cosmos was created directly by God in one week. It was finished and complete. Changes that has happened since then has only resulted in diversification within the original kinds.

7. The Consequences of Theistic Evolution: The Bible is the authoritative source of information. The entire Bible bears witness to this truth from God. The two testaments complement each other. The New Testament is the fulfilment of the Old Testament. Everything was consummated in Christ. The Old Testament can be viewed as a ramp leading up to the New Testament, but the Old Testament can only be understood from the viewpoint of the New Testament. Nowhere in the Bible are there any indications that the creation account should be understood in any other way than as a factual report. But the doctrine of theistic evolution tries to undermine this basic way of reading the Bible as stated by Jesus and that's the same Bible we have today. Following the ideals of theistic evolutions leads to the abandonment of central Biblical teachings and disobey God. The Bible says very clearly in 1 Samuel 15:23b: because you have rejected the word of the Lord, he has rejected you.

Jesus reveals God to us as our father in Heaven Who is absolutely perfect. God is omnipotent; He is the Father of the heavenly lights, who does not change. If a God with these characteristics creates something, then His works could only be perfect. But the Darwinistic principle of the survival of the fittest means that superior organisms will win the battle for survival. Death elevates life in evolution. Anyone who supports theistic evolution will eventually regard sin as a harmless evolutionary factor. Thus what Jesus did on the cross to redeem us from sin will become absurd to the theistic evolutionist. This just shows the perverting power of sin in any person's life. The Bible explains that man has completely been ensnared by sin after Adams fall. Only those people who realise that they are sinful and lost will seek the Saviour. Since sin is made meaningless by evolution people will no longer have the key to seek God. For the theistic evolutionist, God's incarnation becomes incidental. As Christians we know that the incarnation of God in Jesus Christ is one of the basic teachings of the Bible. The Bible clearly says that every spirit that acknowledges Jesus has come in the flesh from God and any spirit that does not, is the spirit of the anti-Christ. Neither does theistic evolution acknowledge that Adam was the first man nor was he created directly by God. It becomes a mythical tale for the theistic evolutionist. Not accepting Adam and Even consequently affect the word of redemption of Jesus Christ and His death on the Cross. The Biblical Chronology has a beginning and end. The given measures of Biblical time are corrupted by the theistic evolutionist. Theistic evolutionists write such statements as, 'no serious biologist doubts the fact that evolution has happened, nor that all living creatures are cousins of one another, but this essay has stated time and time again that evolution doesn't even qualify as a scientific theory. The frequently quoted transitional forms and missing links have never been found. Furthermore, evolutionists have missed the purpose of creation

and the Bible: man is God's purpose with creation, man is the object of God's life, man is the purpose of God's plan of redemption, he is the purpose of the mission of God's Son, Man is the purpose of God's inheritance and heaven is man's destination. Unlike evolution, in theistic evolution Christian concepts are being integrated with these ideas.

Werner Gitt has given us a detailed account of the differences between evolution, scientific thought and Biblical truths. One of the points that has come clear is that evolution is not even a scientific theory. These evolutionary ideas have 'evolved' into false statements of affirmation by so many. They make statements about biology, palaeontology, genetics and so many other things that have no scientific backing whatsoever. Theistic evolution is not just a statement or slight difference that can be easily accepted but it becomes a virus that infiltrates every aspect and doctrine of Christianity. It doesn't destroy the Bible but it destroys the person who opens themselves to any part of it. It also weakens the state of man by equated them as animals. This is shown in the world today, 'life is cheap!' We've seen how evolutionists just side step problems that affect its very foundations. One such is human language which doesn't fit into evolution. Another is the ability of man to reason and think. Another involves the innate informational systems which the human body has. None of this relates to evolution. There are so many others. Generally speaking, I believe all of the author's objectives were met.

We have seen a scientific view of how different aspects of evolution were supposed to be presented and explained and showed how it wasn't. This part was comparing evolution and how it should relate to science and how it wasn't relating to science. This was then compared to the Bible and it clearly revealed what the Bible said about those same things. I was actually surprised at what is involved in theistic evolution. Christians believe this stuff without realizing what it represents. They accept a small part of it and then they find other 'deadly' aspects of it slowly creeping into their beliefs like a cancer. There is no 'riding the fence' in regards to evolution. Even the purpose of evolution degrades the Bible and everything it represents.

In another article, Jeffrey, G.R. (2003). *Creation: Remarkable Evidence of God's Design*. Frontier Research Publications. Printed in Canada: Harmony Printing Limited, God alone is the Creator of both 'the heaven and the Earth.' The Scriptures declare at the beginning of Genesis, 'In the beginning God created the heaven and the Earth'. But, Jesus Himself, speaking of Genesis, warned: 'but if you believe not Moses' writings, how will you believe my words?' (John 5:47). Today, society and many Christians no longer accept Genesis as fact. This erosion into Christian beliefs is like taking a crowbar to a board in their

Christianity. One loose board and then another and another until Christ is taken over by something new. But the Bible teaches that the Universe, life itself, and humanity are the purposeful result of a supernatural act of Creation by a super-intelligent God that exists outside of time, space, and the Universe. For this reason and many other reasons, evolution is a false faith, for it takes faith to believe it. There is no in-between, it's either or. Sola Scriptura was a calling card for the Reformers; the absolute truth of the Holy Scriptures that motivated this cry.

The remarkable scientific discoveries in the areas of astronomy, the nature of the atom, the intricate genetic information encoded within DNA that controls all biological organisms and discoveries in biological science have combined to transform the world of science. More and more scientists believe that a Supreme Being, God had to have created the universe. Interestingly, evolution cannot stand up to science as by definition, it is the study of things in our Universe that can be measured and tested in a repeatable way by other scientists. Evolution cannot be measured this way. Earth, humans and everything that exists today reveals an incredible structure showing a design that can't have just happened. The universe is a purposely built, tuned and created watch, there is not randomness. Thus this design had to have a designer.

For example, the human body is created as the most fantastic creation of all. The interconnected systems of the human body are essential for growth, energy, motion, waste, reproduction and for the brain's awesome mental computational abilities. It is a structural masterpiece more amazing than science fiction. The brain alone is more complex than anything known to exist on Earth. Professors Fred Hoyle and Chandra Wickramasinghe in Evolution from space said that the human brain consists of about ten thousand million nerve cells, each putting out between ten thousand and one hundred thousand connecting fibres by which it makes contact with other nerve cells in the brain. These connectors total a thousand million million numbers in the order of 10^{15} which is beyond comprehension. Each of these is directly connected with more than fifty thousand other neurons through the incredibly small fibres, called dendrites, allowing instantaneous transfers of messages across the brain. There are more than one quadrillion intricate electrical connections, or synapses, within the brain, making it the most phenomenally complex machinery scientists have discovered in the Universe. The rest of the brain, 90%, is made up of almost a trillion glial cells that may play a very important function in the staggering number of calculations performed every second. There is no computer or machine on Earth that equals this. So evolutionists believe all this was accidentally formed as a result of random-chance mutation. Another example, the DNA

code governing the eye of a baby begins growing optic nerves simultaneously from both the optic centre of the brain and from the eye. A million microscopic optic nerves begin growing from the eye through the flesh toward the optical section of the baby's brain.

The lens of the eye is constructed of microscopic and transparent living cells. These cells allow light photons to enter through the cornea, pass through the optical fluid, then to be analysed by the phenomenal organ known as the retina. The retina lines the back of the eye and acts as a type of film, receiving the actual image composed of light photons passing through the iris, cornea, and eye fluid. The retina is thinner than paper, yet is tiny surface contains 137 million light-sensitive cells. Approximately 95 percent of these cells are rods that can analyse black and white images, while the balance of about seven million cone cells analyse colour images. Each of these millions of cells is separately connected to the optic nerve, which transmits signals at approximately 300 miles per hour. The retina is the most light-sensitive object on earth. It can detect one single photon of light in a dark room, far beyond the range of engineered optical instruments. These retina cells perform up to ten billion calculations per second in determining the nature of the image transmitted to the eye by light photons. No supercomputer on Earth is capable of matching these virtually instantaneous calculations.

Another example of amazing design is the 'liver'. The Scriptures affirm that the blood is an essential factor in our life. It is the essential organ that purifies the bloodstream. Leviticus 17:11 says that the life of the flesh is in the blood. It is a complex chemical refinery that is involved in the production of glucose, which forms the main energy that allows our body to function. It is the primary filter to remove dangerous toxins from our blood's circulatory system. It cleans blood from complex surplus and waste materials produced by the trillions of cells and produces essential globulins, including immune substances and chemical enzymes, to repair our veins. The cells in the liver are capable of performing up to five hundred separate chemical reactions simultaneously. It can produce up to one thousand essential chemicals, called enzymes, every day as required to instantly chemically alter food, drink, medication, etc to remove poisons and make food nutritious.

The atheist used to speak of the 'simple cell'. Not so! The trillions of cells that form our body and all other live organisms are infinitely more complicated than the most sophisticated and complex supercomputers in existence today. Dr George Palade, a professor of the Rockefeller Institute in New York discovered an incredibly complex system operating within the 'simple cell'. He was amazed to discover an unbelievable intricate and complex system throughout the protoplasm. It is a remarkable microscopic universe that involved a

massive maze of infinitely microscopic tubes as well as incredibly tiny cells within cells. The cell is able to control the entry and exit of thousands of chemical, enzymes, and proteins that are essential for life to exist. Whenever any one of the sixty trillion cells in the body needs a hormone, an enzyme, a vitamin, a chemical, or a protein, or needs to excrete a harmful waste product, the cell communicates with the rest of our body, and the bloodstream sends its vital fluid through the over 75 thousand miles of veins, arteries, and capillaries that reach every single cell of our body. The cells perform complex and intricate functions which include energy generation; defence against biological invaders; intricate communication links to trillions of other cells simultaneously; sophisticated communication links within and without the cell; transportation systems to move nutritional products within and without the cell; waste disposal systems to eliminate harmful toxins, and the generation of energy for all cell functions. Each cell is individually composed of over one thousand amino acid molecules, which must be arranged in an amazingly precise sequence. Biologists admit that the 'simple cell' could never perform their functions unless they were arranged in the precise pattern. Other amazing and complex creatures are the beaver, the honeybee, the monarch butterfly, pepsis wasps, penguins, rattlesnakes, bats, dolphins, geckos, hummingbirds, Canadian geese, electrical fish, the woodpecker, and the list goes on.

Astronomy: Up until the 1920s scientists thought that the Universe was static; the 'steady state' universe had existed forever. But in 1927 Edwin Hubble discovered that enormous galaxies were moving away from our galaxy and from each other at stupendous velocities. God caused the Universe, including time, space, matter and energy to begin expanding at an extraordinary speed, which continues today. He used the force of gravity to cause matter to combine together to form galaxies and stars and if the expansion force and speed were more or less than what it is, the Universe would either collapse or it would never have stabilized in the first place. The two fundamental forces needed to be balanced to an absolutely astonishing level of precision that totally defies the odds of probability. And as for as the 'Big Bang' is concerned; Sir Fred Hoyle said that any such explosion would produce disorder. Note that from order there can indeed be chaos but from chaos there cannot be order. The universe is made up of 4 percent ordinary matter (protons, neutrons, and electrons) that strongly interact with photons (light); 23 percent exotic matter such as neutrinos that also weakly interact with light and then 73 percent of the Universe is space energy density, a selfstretching property of the space fabric of the universe. And as for the earth, it is exactly positioned at a distance and in a circular orbit that enables life to exist. If the Earth's orbit

were elliptical, like all the other planets of the Solar System, we would freeze for part of the year and burn up for part of the year.

The Anthropic Principle states that overwhelming scientific evidence demonstrates that the precise design and finely balanced fundamental forces governing our Universe argue persuasively that our Universe was either designed by a supernatural intelligence, namely God, or that there are an infinity number of Universes that don't support life and we just happen to exist in the only one that does. In order for the Universe to support life, it is result of fine-tuning of more than one hundred scientifically vital values, such as atmospheric conditions, positions, chemical composition, gravity, magnetism and many others. Daniel 12:4 says that in the last days, knowledge shall increase. And the sum total of our scientific knowledge is now doubling every twenty-four months, an unprecedented even in human history. All life system on Earth is based on the existence of the element carbon. This came about in the creation where only hydrogen and helium existed. The collision of helium atoms produced a short lived and unstable element called beryllium which again collided with the helium producing carbon. All of this took place in the first second of God's creation. A committed evolutionist, Sir Fred Hoyle, spoke about the energy levels in Carbon 12 found in rocks suggests that a super intellect adjusted physics, as well as chemistry and biology and there is simply no blind forces operating in nature. The creation of this carbon resulted in the formation of all the other heavy elements in the Universe that are essential for life to exist. Note that the existence of carbon proves intelligent design. Professor John Maynard Smith in his book Cosmic Coincidences wrote about the infinite complexity and interconnectedness of all the fundamental forces to provide what is essential to the existence of our Universe and to human life itself.

Sir Martin Rees says in his book, Just Six Numbers, that the fundamental strong nuclear force is the electrical force that holds atoms together must be precisely balanced and calibrated to the force of gravity to allow the existence of the Universe. The precise balance between the gravitational force and electromagnetic force allows stars to exist and radiate energy. The gravitational force holds the star together while the electromagnetic force energizes the star to radiate its energy. A nuclear strong force is roughly a hundred times stronger than electromagnetism, which is in turn ten thousand times stronger than the nuclear weak force, which is itself some ten thousand billion billion billion times stronger than gravity. Another remarkable essential element necessary for the existence of our Universe and human life is hydrogen and oxygen. Oxygen is the most abundant element on earth, making up 46 percent of the Earth's crust as it is combined with other elements in rock. It

makes up 21 percent of the atmosphere is in also combined with hydrogen in water. According to astronomer John D. Barrow, water is the most vital element in the existence for life but yet is an incredibly unusual and unlikely element to have formed in the Universe. It is the strangest substances known to science. Its specific heat, surface tension and other physical properties have values anomalously higher or lower than those of any other known material. Interestingly, a smaller planet would not have the gravitational pull to retain the water and atmosphere essential to life. If earth was any bigger, organisms would weight eight times more. This would destroy many forms of life. In addition, without the 23 degree tilt that earth has, the earth's surface would be uninhabitable because of the loss of cultivated land. Without the exact velocity of our solar system moving within the galaxy, we would be drawn toward the core of the galaxy.

Recently discovered DNA was found to store enormous amounts of encoded hereditary information that controls the growth, repair, and reproduction of the body. It is composed of two long chains of specialized chemical arranged into intricate pairs, forming a double helix that comprises the building blocks of the future organism. This DNA is coiled together within the nucleus of every one of the sixty trillion cells that make up our body. Even in the 'simply cell' it contains at least three million units, each unit aligned in a very precise, meaningful sequence. DNA code contains five billion letters. It is the genetic blueprint for life, holding unique instructions for building, repairing, and reproducing every living thing on earth. It is composed of four subunits called nucleotides and these (not the red blood cells) are composed of a phosphate with ribose sugar together with one of four bases: guanine, cytosine, thymine; or adenine. And the genetic message that conveys the ways organs and body structures form is encoded in the intricate sequence of these chemical. If we want to print these out, it would require three thousand volumes. RNA (ribonucleic acid) similar to DNA but serves the function of a messenger that conveys the genetic message to make proteins that are the body's essential building blocks that form all of our biological systems. There are information patterns discovered within DNA, and these patterns were also discovered to exist in language such as English which can be mathematically analysed. So, all living biological organisms are incredibly complex, even the simplest bacteria. The smallest cell in the body is composed of over fifty billion atoms arranged into more than one hundred different proteins along with a staggering amount of genetic information encoded in the DNA and RNA that govern the cell's activities, nutrition, repair and replication. The problem for the theory of evolution is that every part of this complex cell needs to be present for the cell to function at all. Dr. Francis Crick, an atheist and co-discovered of the structure of DNA, has admitted that there is almost no possibility whatsoever that the very first life could have spontaneously generated from the inorganic chemical that may have existed in the early Earth's atmosphere and surface water. This genetic code is not binary as such but it is a quaternary code consisting of four letters with four symbols.

Microevolution and macroevolution: Microevolution represent changes within a kind as dictated by the Bible and this happened, but macroevolution represents changes across different kinds and evolution dictates. This is further represented by evolutionist looking for missing links within animals. They believe that everything grew from a single organism. But as of today, there has never been macroevolution or missing links. There have been plenty of 'supposedly' discoveries of missing links but all have proved false, misled, fabricated and simply lies. Evolutionists have never found any 'transitional examples' within the fossil records either. And most evolutionists admit this. To date, every species discovered in the fossil record appears perfectly formed. Palaeontologists have never discovered a fossil showing a partially formed species or a partially formed organ. On such fossil, the Archaeopteryx is claimed to be absolute proof of at least one missing link, or transitional form between reptiles and birds. The unusual aspect of this fossil is that it displays a set of unusual teeth. Otherwise it is a true bird, complete with fully-developed wings and feathers. Then, there was the fraudulently designed combination of two distinct and totally unrelated fossils of a 'feathered dinosaur' by National Geographic was the feet and tail of a small dinosaur known as a raptor and a birdlike upper torso combined. It was illegally exported from Liaoning Province in China and revealed that the entire fossil was a hoax and a fraud. There are also the supposedly found missing links in humans; first the Piltdown Man I and II, then the Ramapithecus, then the Java Man and the Nebraska Man and the Peking Man and the Neanderthal Man. The results of all these 'missing links' were found mostly to be lies and frauds, partial bones such as on 'nail' or a very small piece of skull or whole skeletons no different from modern man. Evolutionists have now developed the idea of punctuated evolution. This says that transitional steps happened quickly throughout the millions of years. Macroevolution is supposedly caused by mutations between kinds but mutation, as many scientists have pointed out, is a mistake, the equivalent of a copying error, and how could mistakes build up into a new body of complicated ordered information? The theory of evolution depends entirely upon the unobserved and unproven assumption that random mutations over long periods of time. But practically every mutation is obviously harmful, and puts the organism at a disadvantage rather than an advantage. Mutations, by definition, are rare errors in the copying of the genetic code. In spite of this knowledge, a large majority of

these biochemistry textbooks virtually ignored the subject of providing evidence supporting the theory of evolution. Harold C. Urey, a winner of the Nobel Prize for chemistry, declared that many scientists now admit to the impossibility of evolution and that random mutations could never account for the remarkable biological diversity that characterizes life on Earth. Scientific reasons to reject evolution are in the second law of thermodynamic that says that the total amount of usable energy throughout the Universe is constantly decreasing. The Universe is running down.

If the theory of evolution were true, these millions of individual species would have needed, by random mutation, to beat the unimaginably large odds against the accidental evolution of its own species.

References

- Abramson, P. (1998). *A Defence of Creationism*. (30 pages) from www.creationism.org/articles/genesis.htm
- Astrophysics (Astronomy) Frontiers and Controversies by Yale University, downloaded from http://itunes.csl.edu (7 lectures).
- Battan, D. (2006) *The Creation Answers*. (384 pages) from Creation Book Publishers website: http://www.answersingenesis.org
- Bradley, W. L. (1995). Is There Scientific Evidence of the Existence of God? How Recent Discoveries Support a Designed Universe. (28 pages) from http://winteryknight.wordpress.com/2011/03/08/walter-bradley-explains-three-scientific-arguments-for-gods-existence/
- Creation Science by Dr Kent Hovind downloaded from Creation Science Evangelism at www.drdino.com (40 lectures plus notes).
- Creation Science by various authors downloaded from Seattle Creation Conferences http://nwcreation.net/videos/ on 30 October 2011 (40 lectures)
- Creation Science Videos by Ken Ham downloaded on 15 April 2011 from http://nwcreation.net/videos (15 lectures).
- Darwin, C. (1876). *The Works of Charles Darwin and the Origin of Species*. NYU Press; Volume 16 edition (February 15, 2010) (512 pages).
- Gitt, W. (1993). *Did God use Evolution?* US: Master Books ISBN-13: 978-0890514832 (144 pages).
- Griggs, J. F. Evolution 101. (50 pages) from http://www.creationism.org/griggs
- Jeffrey, G.R. (2003). *Creation: Remarkable Evidence of God's Design*. Frontier Research Publications. Printed in Canada: Harmony Printing Limited (281 pages).
- Lang, W. & V. (1984). *Two Decades of Creationism*. USA: Bible-Science Association and Genesis Institute ASIN: B0006YXH9G (100 pages).
- Lisle, J. (2006). *Taking Back Astronomy*. US: Master Books ISBN-13: 978-0890514719 (128 pages)

- Morris, H. M. (1974). *Scientific Creationism*. US: Master Books 978-0875523385 (277 pages).
- Morris, H. M. (1988). *Men of Science Men of God: Great Scientists who believed in the Bible*. USA: Master Books 978-0890510806 (127 pages).
- Morris, H. M. (1997). Biblical Creation. US: Baker Books ASIN: B00107B178 (276 pages).
- Price, G. M. (1925). *Predicament of Evolution*. USA: Southern Publishing Assoc. (140 pages) from www.creationism.org
- Smart, L. D. (1995). *Evolution Unmasked*. (95 pages) from http://unmaskingevolution.com/pdf_dl/book/resource.PDF
- Sunderland, L. (1988). *Darwin's Enigma Ebbing the Tide of Naturalism*. (192 pages) From Master's Books at http://www.newleafpublishinggroup.com/MB.php
- Wiebe, G. D. (1997). *Creation vs Evolution* (35 pages) downloaded 2009 from www.wiebefamily.org