

Biblical Creation



This essay covers a number of articles concerning evolution, thermodynamics, Biblical Creation, matter and secular creation theories. These articles provide a different perspective with challenging arguments. There is no claim of authorship here except for compilation of summaries from the books *mentioned and their respective authors*. *The challenge put forth in this essay is for the reader to consider the possibility of a different world view.*

Introduction

This is a study presented by Jolly F. Griggs from Ventura College under the name of Evolution 101. It is part of the Doctor of Religion Education programme from Trinity Graduate School of Apologetics and Theology. The information is divided up into twelve different lessons. This essay will argue against Macroevolution, a controversial metaphysical belief that involves unnatural, speculative processes, outside physics and chemistry. The following laws will briefly be discussed: cause and effect, thermodynamics, biogenesis, mass action, inertia, angular momentum, and probability. The idea of order to disorder or entropy will be put up as an argument against evolution especially in light of understanding the complexity of life. The lies and false evidence as presented by evolutionary non-Christian scientists will be brought to light with examples. Various types of clocks will be presented to show the evidence of a young earth verses the evolutionists stand on an old earth. Along with the above the essay will consider the makeup of matter because it's shown as the basic building blocks of elements.

In addition, additional readings have been done on a book by Laurence D Smart by the title of, 'Evolution Unmasked.' Even though it's not part of the assignment a summary of it has been included in the appendix as support for the main topic.

Part I

The evolution controversy consists of several groups: atheists or humanists as they classify themselves which include various groups such as scientists, the man on the street, anti-Christians etc., theistic evolutionists who are mainly Christians that believe God used evolution to create the earth, progressive creationists who believe in the gap theory; a separation of time between Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 where a world was created but destroyed and a new world was created. Plus, there are two kinds of evolution: micro and macro. Microevolution is actually observed as variations within a species such as the many kinds of dogs. Macroevolution involves unnatural, speculative processes, outside the domain of physics and chemistry. This is a highly controversial metaphysical belief. Here, it must be said as a comparison that religious belief is a metaphysical system. Both systems are equally metaphysical and religious. Most evolutionists including some religions except for Christianity believe the universe is eternal without beginning or end.

It's important to look at various laws that play a part in regards to the world we live on and also the universe we live in. There is the law of cause and effect, thermodynamics,

biogenesis, mass action, inertia, angular momentum, and probability. Cause and Effect says that every effect requires a competent cause and the effect cannot be greater than its cause. The 1st Law of Thermodynamics clearly shows that matter and energy of the universe is constant, even biology. In other words, in any action, energy is used. This means that the science of physics and chemistry, for example, are non-creative and non-destructive. Matter can not be destroyed, increased or decreased. The 2nd Law of Thermodynamics disputes the point that the universe may be eternal or self-existent or that order and complexity of natural systems increase by way of macro-evolution. This means that energy that is used will be lost in the form of heat. According to Einstein, matter and energy are inter-convertible as stressed in his formula $E=mc^2$. However, we do not readily observe energy changing into matter. The by product of used energy, light and heat radiate into space. This left over by product is called 'entropy.' For example, suns will eventually burn up and the universe will come to an end. Biogenesis teaches that life comes only from life, not spontaneous chemicals. An atom does not have an attribute of life. A lifeless chemical cannot cause life. The Law of Mass Action show that chemical reactions always tend to move toward equilibrium. The chemical reactions that combine amino acids to form proteins are reversible as the energy source that builds them up will also tear them down. An ordering energy that helps maintain stability will eventually succumb to the 2nd Law, equilibrium and death. Inertia shows that an object at rest or in motion will remain at rest or in motion unless acted upon by an outside force. The Law of Angular Momentum declares that radial motion cannot change in direction such as an orbit or condense into lumps without outside force. Probability denies that time, chance and environment can explain origins. The chance of this happening is something like 10^{520} . Not necessarily a law but a point to consider, the fossil record has never shown an undisputed transition of one kind of organism evolving into a more complex one.

The idea of progressive creation claims that God first created everything in Genesis 1:1 and then destroyed it and started over after Genesis 1:2. Those who support this do not support a worldwide global flood as shown in Genesis. Biblical words have been stressed to support progressive creation. For example, Genesis 1:2 where 'was' is used but replaced by 'became. Another example, Genesis 1:28 where God said unto them, be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it. The Hebrew dictionary lists the word, 'fill' instead of replenish. However, there are references all through the Bible that support the six day creation and nothing that suggests any idea of a second creation of earth. These are attempts to satisfy years of influential pressure of evolutionary scientists. But some of these influences

have been caught out. For example, there's the case of the Piltdown Man. In a place called Piltdown Common in Sussex, England, a man by the name of Charles Dawson found some bones between 1910 and 1913 and declared them to be a prehistoric man, the link between man and ape. But, it turned out that the jaw was that of an ordinary and recent ape which had not seasoned. The two molars had been filed flat so as to appear worn by the free swinging jaw of a human. The teeth had been stained dark. It was a hoax which took scientists forty years to discover. It was fraud and what was worse, everybody accepted it because they wanted to believe it. The same happened with Eugene Dubois who claimed to have found Java Man, another so called missing link. It was a human femur with a skull of an ape. There were human skulls found in the same area and before Mr. Dubois death, he called his find a giant gibbon! Evolutionist have their 'showcase examples' as one might say. There the Peppered moth, along with the Archaeopteryx and a few others but these can easily be seen for what they are. Then there's the geological column that is shown in all textbooks but is total irrelevant as the fossil remains in the various layers do not conform to it. In fact fossil remains represent a 'free for all' covering just about every layer of the geological column.

Evolutionists contradict themselves by saying that evolution is documented by geology and then saying that geology is documented by evolution. The possibility of macroevolution is even less than finding humans with the same fingerprints or finding two identical snowflakes having the same matrix! Creationists assume that God created a mature universe during Creation. Often evolutionary scientists throw the property of light travel to the creationist and ask them to explain that. Light travel is measured by light years. If a star is ten million light years from earth, then they say it took ten million years for it to travel here. But we have learned that the universe was created in perfect order and that it is slowly moving to disorder or chaos. It's dying. The speed of light had to be infinite. An Australian physicist did an experiment with light and determined that it was slowing down. According to his findings, he believes that light was, in fact, infinite just 6,000 years ago. It also seems that the earth's magnetic field is decreasing in strength. The rate of decrease measured backward shows the earth to be less than 10,000 years old. According to Robert Whitelaw, Professor at Virginia Polytechnic, the carbon-14 clock began about 8,000 years ago. The United States has millions of litres of oil gushing out of the bottom of the ocean floor in the Caribbean Sea. This oil is under extreme pressure that should have resolved itself millions of years ago. It's already been proven that oil can easily be produced from garbage in a matter of hours! Even population growth indicates a young earth. It was about five thousand years

ago that the earth saw the beginning of organized cities and population centres. This was immediate and it all happened in the Middle East.

We see that matter is made up of protons, neutrons and electrons. These are like bricks that fit together in various combinations producing elements with certain structures and properties. For example, one proton plus one electron is the formula for hydrogen. Another example has eight protons, eight neutrons and eight electrons for oxygen. All elements have unique properties and behaviour patterns. We also know that gravity is a property of matter. Two bodies of matters attract each other. Scientists understand how all this works but they do not understand why. This is the same with amino and nucleic acids which form proteins and DNA in the cells of an animal. These proteins join together to form multiple thousands of individual chemical machines. In order for them to function as a cell they must be organized by other external agencies to work together. Even though these cells are highly complex in nature they are not yet alive as such as life still doesn't just appear when physical conditions are right. There must be an outside agency or external cause in order for these cells to have the presence of life.

The focus of this essay has been on microevolution, a controversial metaphysical belief. This belief goes beyond established laws of cause and effect, thermodynamics, biogenesis, mass action and others. Microevolution teaches that out of chaos comes order but this goes against what's happening in the universe. We have order and it's developing into chaos or another way of putting it: everything is dying. There are a number of clocks that provide evidence of this. We have also seen that lifeless chemical reactions cannot cause life. In order to have life, there must be an external agency involved to bring life about. The study was very informative and the theme was really good; it is like a review of the other articles that have been presented so far. The author's presentation was clear, concise and to the point. I believe he easily met his own objectives.

I found it interesting that evolutionists have had to rely on fraud in the case of the Piltdown man and Java man to prove evolution. When that didn't work, they either lie or don't tell the whole story, but even more interesting now, even if they tell the truth, no body wants to hear them because the only option is creationism which people don't want to hear about.

The most disappointing aspect about these readings is how Christians have accepted the tenets of evolution through having a weaker creation in order to agree with evolution. This clearly shows how the ways of the world have influenced the church. This causes non

Christians to question the credibility of the Bible. Another point, there are organizations that are pushing evolution knowing the flaws associated with it in order to counter act the affects of Christianity in various western countries in the world.

I would recommend joining all of the files into one file. This could be easily done. It would make it easier to through and read, whereas it was hard to make notes in all the different files and took a considerable amount of time to organize such notes. Thankyou!

Part II

Smart has taken points which evolutionists state as facts and provide his view and other scientists' views on them. Along with the Big Bang theory, there are others like the Steady State and Plasma Theories. The Big Bang theory requires a 'tremendous' amount of energy at the very beginning and no explanation of this source has been suggested. It also needs to be said that an explosion only produces radiation and high speed particles, not order state such as the universe. The expanding universe is not a result of any 'big bang' theory. Images captured by the Cosmic Background Explorer space probe did not provide any additional proof of this as the readings had no bearing on what the structure of the universe was like billions of years ago. The age of the universe is unknown. Various dates given are 15, 12, 19 8 20 and 11 billion years over the last seven years. In an experiment with the decay of the speed of light by Barry Setterfield shows that the universe is about 6,000 years old. Astronomers say that the universe is too vast to have been formed by a big bang. Many scientists say that these galaxies are so problematic; they just should not be there. In fact, because of the rapidly revolving spiral galaxies, it shows show a young universe.

The sun is shrinking 1.5 metres per year according to Professor Wan Lai of the Shanghai Observatory of the Chinese Academy of Science. Tests show there are a considerable less number of neutrino particles being emitted, about two thirds less than expected. It was thought at one time that the sun produced radiation from gravitational collapse but this was replaced by the nuclear-fusion theory in 1930. But because of the lack of neutrinos, the theory goes back and forward from gravitational collapse to nuclear-fusion. Stars also have been known in the last several thousand years to change from blue to yellow or from yellow to red to white. There is much disagreement between observation and established theory of how the sun produces its radiant energy. It would not be a problem if we could accept a young sun and earth. From an evolutionary perspective, based on the big bang, the sun gave off less radiation in the past than it does now but if that's so, the earth would have been covered with ice today. Geologists say that there's no proof of this. Even in

meteors, there's no sign of required sorting if the solar system is old. Lighter particles should have slowed down more quickly than heavier ones. The famous astronomer Fred Whipple from Harvard University shows no sorting whatsoever. The probing of Venus revealed to scientist a landscape with a lack of crater degradation and eroded terrain. Io in the Jupiter system is a highly geological moon. In addition, the probing of Saturn's ring shows dust like ice. All of this reveals evidence of a young solar system. It was also found that Neptune's atmosphere contains heavier material like that of Jupiter and Saturn which shouldn't be, being so far from the sun. Comets are also very problematic for evolutionist as they are not supposed to be around because the earth is so old. A theory has been established to support the presence of comets by having a large cloud of comets outside the solar system. This theory is not based on any observed facts. The motions of comets do not even match up well with this theory. Some scientists postulate that instead of the Oort Cloud, there is a belts of debris and junk beyond the outer planets. Some think they are 'belched' out of volcanoes from Jupiter perhaps.

The age of the earth isn't known. Methods to determine its age provides a range from 8,000 years, to 40,000 years, to 100,000 years, to one million years and beyond. Models that show wind and water removal of soil and rock indicate there would be no land above sea level. In addition, the earth receives an estimated 14 million tonnes of cosmic dust each year. If the earth was five billion years old, there should be a sixty metre layer of this dust on the surface of the earth. The earth is also slowing down due to gravitational pull of the sun and moon but if the earth is as old as evolutionist say, the continents would have long been forced to the equator. There should have been a six foot layer of dust on the moon if the moon were old. Nor does the viscosity or flow rate of the craters of the moon indicate a 4 billion year history. The idea of tens of thousands of planets in the universe like the earth is pure supposition, not facts. Evolutionists say that the Earth's Magnetism field is constant and this confirms the age of the earth, but there are two calculations of data, one showing a young earth between 6 to 10 thousand years old and the other showing over 600 million years. At the same time, the energy stored in the earth's magnetic field has decreased by a factor of 2.7 showing the earth is no more than 10,000 years old. In addition, NASA's MagSat satellite produced data in 1979 showing the field has a half-life of 830 years only which contradicts uniformitarianist's idea that the magnetic field has remained unchanged during geological time. Magnetic polarity reversals can take place in weeks, the time it takes for lava to cool. Meteor impact can easily explain every geological feature on earth. According to one theory,

geological phenomena are created immediately as a result of meteor collision. Australian astronomer George Dodwell suggests that the earth experienced a dramatic tilt of its axis in 2345 BC. This resulting wobble produced a curve that fit with the solar calendars of Eudoxus, Stonehenge, and the Solar Temple of Amen-Ra. This was believed to have been caused by an asteroid impact in the Pacific Ocean. The Ice Age has shown to involve North America and Northern part of Russia along with a large expansion from the Antarctic immediately after the flood which could also relate to the above mentioned dramatic tilt of the earth. In addition, there is living proof of extreme geological processes which happened on an island off Iceland, the island of Surtsey. The island rose out of the sea as lava poured from the volcano. Within two years it had fully formed sandy beaches, precipitous crags, and boulders worn round by the surf, gravel banks, lagoons, cliffs, hollows, glens and soft undulating land. Evolutionists and Geologists have said that such changes should have taken millions of years. Again, as a result of the eruption of Mount St Helens, a canyon system was created, a plain of pumice was deposited, and 183 metre stratum with various layers was formed in one day. This shows the erroneousness of age-dating methods and fallacies of long geologic periods being provided as evidence for an old earth today. Even continental drift which is an accepted fact is only a theory and very little proof, if any, is available, but it has been shown that any such collected data has such random fluctuation as big as the stated rates of movement that little confidence should be given to this data. Sedimentary rocks is shown to take millions of years to form but there are many examples of things like hammers, clothes, tools and even bodies have been found in sandstone that is suppose to be 400 million years old. Stalagmites have been seen to form within fifty years. Decomposed garbage and materials has seen oil to form within years instead of ages. Educators teach that coal comes from peat. This peat has been seen to turn to coal within a few years under the right conditions.

These idiosyncrasies show up in so many aspects of so called evolutionary facts. There are fossil pollen grains in Precambrian shale deposits where there shouldn't be. Extinct Coelacanth and Rhipidistian where fish was supposed to have evolved into the amphibians but now scientist see that there is too great a difference between them for this to have happened. Any supposedly hypothetical fish that gave rise to a four legged creature is still unknown. Evolution says that reptiles evolved from amphibians, but dinosaurs like all other fossil animals appear in the fossil record intact, without predecessors. There are no specimens of an appropriate reptilian ancestor known before the appearance of true reptiles. Palaeontologists admit themselves to the lack of evidence of the evolution of reptiles. There

was no age of the dinosaur as dinosaur bones have been discovered along with the bones of hoofed animals in all the different column layers. And there are over 60 different theories concerning the extinction of dinosaurs; anywhere between meteor collisions, magnesium deficiency, volcanic sulphur activity eroded the ozone layer and the list goes on. Even horses; fossils of three toed and one toed animals which are said to be ancestors have been found preserved in the same rock formation showing they lived together. Two modern day horses, *Equus nevadensis* & *Equus occidentalis*, both have been found in the same fossil strata as the so-called 'down horse, *Eohippus*. This is supposed to be connected to the early part ape and part human; but this was only a collection of bones unearthed in Kenya and according to later finds, the animal actually walked on four legs with its palms down like monkeys. It was tailless and lived in trees and is not accepted today as proof that humans and apes came from a common ancestor. The same goes for the Australopithecine theories which have been based on the meagre evidence of a single front face; several lower jaws and numerous teeth, portions of a pelvis all which have been lumped together to produce hypothetical features of fully grown animals. Lucy was formed by such a collection of bones and imagination. *Australopithecus* was supposed to have evolved into *Homo erectus* and then *Homo erectus* evolved into *Homo habilis* which in turn evolved into *Sinanthropus*. But fossilised human remains found in Tanzania were dated to be six million years old, older than any of the above. Mass graves show *Homo erectus* skeletons and human skeletons buried alongside each other. *Homo habilis* was invented out of a group of scattered bones with the hands being of a chimpanzee or female gorilla. Again, human bones have been found in the same area as those designated as *Homo habilis* but evolutionist assigned them a different age grouping! The Neanderthal man was constructed by Boule in 1908 after 40 years, scientist corrected this error. In 1924 two human skulls were found lower than the tools of the Neanderthals which make humans older than Neanderthals. This has happened elsewhere also. Anthropological Association in Washington DC say that Neanderthals were human. The Cro-Magnon humans are portrayed as club-swinging brutes who lived in caves but all the evidence indicate a primitive race of humans. It should be stated that brain size doesn't indicate things like morality, behaviour, or culture. The fossils that make up our family tree are so scarce that they could fit inside a single coffin. The fossil record is incomplete as far as the hominids are concerned and all but blank for the apes. There are two camps that consider human origins: the out of Africa group and the paleoanthropologist group. The first are geneticists who use data from mitochondria DNA and the second who interpret fossil data. There is no 'absolute'

theory of human evolution, as it depends on which data is being analysed, what premises are being used and the evolutionary framework being viewed. One hundred years after Darwin said that there were no transitional forms in the fossil records, there are still none today.

Evolutionists say that we have many left over body organs from evolving; for example, the appendix. But this is totally inaccurate as the appendix helps with the immune system. This goes along with the tonsils, also helps with the immunity system. The thymus gland tells our body what is self and what isn't. Vestigial organs as such provide no evidence for evolution; in fact, there is no such thing as a vestigial organ which is not longer needed. And also Biogenetic Law, if true, needs to show certain characteristics within the human embryo as it develops but this has been demonstrated to be wrong by numerous scholars. The idea of any parallelism with different species can not be interpreted to mean that both species came from the same ancestor. It is no longer regarded as evidence for evolution. Mutations are no signs of evolution providing the individual with better characteristics. The vast majority of any mutations proved to be detrimental to the organism in its job of surviving and reproducing; good ones are so rare that we consider them all bad. Natural selection does not work to create new species. This remains unsupported by empirical evidence. It only enables a species to keep up with a changing environment. To conclude, evolution has been said to have been successfully passed testing by scientific methods, however, these evolutionary happenings are unique, unrepeatable and irreversible. Any testing is very restricted because of time intervals which exceed our own lifetimes. It is easy to make up stories but such stories are not part of science. Evolution is outside empirical science. There are no ways to test it. Biologists are simply naïve when they talk about experiments designed to test the theory of evolution because it is simply not testable. All these evolutionary theories of living origins have simply been postulated. They have created an evolutionary mythology of their own.

References

- Abramson, P. (1998). *A Defence of Creationism*. (30 pages) from www.creationism.org/articles/genesis.htm
- Battan, D. (2006) *The Creation Answers*. (384 pages) from Creation Book Publishers website: <http://www.answersingenesis.org>
- Bradley, W. L. (1995). *Is There Scientific Evidence of the Existence of God? How Recent Discoveries Support a Designed Universe*. (28 pages) from <http://winteryknight.wordpress.com/2011/03/08/walter-bradley-explains-three-scientific-arguments-for-gods-existence/>
- Creation Science by Dr Kent Hovind downloaded from Creation Science Evangelism at www.drdino.com (40 lectures plus notes).*
- Creation Science by various authors downloaded from Seattle Creation Conferences <http://nwcreation.net/videos/> on 30 October 2011 (40 lectures)*
- Creation Science Videos by Ken Ham downloaded on 15 April 2011 from <http://nwcreation.net/videos> (15 lectures).*
- Darwin, C. (1876). *The Works of Charles Darwin and the Origin of Species*. NYU Press; Volume 16 edition (February 15, 2010) (512 pages).
- Gitt, W. (1993). *Did God use Evolution?* US: Master Books ISBN-13: 978-0890514832 (144 pages).
- Griggs, J. F. *Evolution 101*. (50 pages) from <http://www.creationism.org/griggs>
- Lang, W. & V. (1984). *Two Decades of Creationism*. USA: Bible-Science Association and Genesis Institute ASIN: B0006YXH9G (100 pages).
- Morris, H. M. (1974). *Scientific Creationism*. US: Master Books 978-0875523385 (277 pages).
- Morris, H. M. (1988). *Men of Science – Men of God: Great Scientists who believed in the Bible*. USA: Master Books 978-0890510806 (127 pages).
- Morris, H. M. (1997). *Biblical Creation*. US: Baker Books ASIN: B00107B178 (276 pages).
- Price, G. M. (1925). *Predicament of Evolution*. USA: Southern Publishing Assoc. (140 pages) from www.creationism.org
- Smart, L. D. (1995). *Evolution Unmasked*. (95 pages) from http://unmaskingevolution.com/pdf_dl/book/resource.PDF
- Sunderland, L. (1988). *Darwin's Enigma – Ebbing the Tide of Naturalism*. (192 pages) From Master's Books at <http://www.newleafpublishinggroup.com/MB.php>
- Wiebe, G. D. (1997). *Creation vs Evolution* (35 pages) downloaded 2009 from www.wiebefamily.org